

Professional Accreditation and Competency-Based Computing Education

Rajendra K. Raj*
Rochester Institute of Technology
Rochester, NY, USA
rkr@cs.rit.edu

David S. Bowers
The Open University
Milton Keynes, UK
david.bowers@open.ac.uk

Bonnie MacKellar
St. John's University
New York, NY, USA
mackellb@stjohns.edu

John Impagliazzo†
Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY, USA
john.impagliazzo@hofstra.edu

Harold Connamacher
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, OH, USA
harold.connamacher@case.edu

Tom Prickett
Northumbria University
Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
tom.prickett@northumbria.ac.uk

Krassen Stefanov
Sofia University
Sofia, Bulgaria
krassen@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

Sherif G. Aly
The American University in Cairo
Cairo, Egypt
sgamal@aucegypt.edu

Stan Kurkovsky
Central Connecticut State University
New Britain, CT, USA
kurkovsky@ccsu.edu

Maíra Marques Samary
Boston College
Boston, MA, USA
marquemo@bc.edu

ABSTRACT

Professional accreditation in medicine and religious organizations started in the 1800s; business and engineering followed in the early 1900s. Program accreditation in computing commenced in the 1980s after computer science, informatics, and information systems programs had become widespread. In 2008, accrediting bodies in eight countries signed the Seoul Accord to set up mutual recognition of professional computing degrees. Although competency-based learning has existed for centuries, it has only made headway in computing in the last dozen years. Computing Curricula 2020 defined competency as the amalgamation of knowledge, skills, and dispositions. This working group report examines professional accreditation in computing, exploring aspects of professional accreditation criteria that support competency-based learning. The report will help educators understand professional accreditation and competency-based learning worldwide. Finally, it will also guide future efforts contributing to competency-based accreditation.

*Working Group Leader

†Working Group Co-Leader

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ITiCSE 2022, July 8–13, 2022, Dublin, Ireland

© 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9200-6/22/07.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3502717.3532171>

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Social and professional topics** → **Accreditation; Computing education programs.**

KEYWORDS

ITiCSE working group, professional accreditation, Seoul Accord, Washington Accord, computing education, competency-based education.

ACM Reference Format:

Rajendra K. Raj, John Impagliazzo, Sherif G. Aly, David S. Bowers, Harold Connamacher, Stan Kurkovsky, Bonnie MacKellar, Tom Prickett, Maíra Marques Samary, and Krassen Stefanov. 2022. Professional Accreditation and Competency-Based Computing Education. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education Vol 2 (ITiCSE 2022)*, July 8–13, 2022, Dublin, Ireland. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3502717.3532171>

1 MOTIVATION

Accreditation has existed for more than a century in business, medicine, and teaching disciplines. Unlike institutional accreditation, which indicates that an institution has met established benchmarks, program accreditation informs that a program has satisfied the quality assurance criteria that professional societies have defined for their discipline. For decades, these accreditation criteria focused on knowledge needed by graduates to enter the profession.

Some accreditation criteria actively promote competency that includes academic knowledge, applicable skills, and human dispositions. For example, the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education requires the provider have “expected results articulated in terms of changes in competence, performance, or

patient outcomes that will be the result of the program” [11]. Likewise, accreditation criteria for business and accounting schools focus on “competencies and what students expect to demonstrate upon completion of their program of study” [1].

Recent computing curricular reports have included competencies: software engineering [8], information systems [10, 15], information technology [13], and data science [6]. In particular, Computing Curricula 2020 defines professional competency as the combination of knowledge, skills, and dispositions in the context of performing a goal-oriented task [4].

Program accreditation in computing began in the United States when the Computing Sciences Accreditation Board (CSAB) [5] through its Computer Science Accreditation Commission (CSAC) began accrediting programs in the mid-1980s. In the United Kingdom (UK), the Chartered Institute for IT (BCS) [3] started accrediting programs in 1990, initially for engineering-related programs under the auspices of the Washington Accord [9] under license from the UK Engineering Council [16]. Other countries developed their accreditation criteria for computing disciplines.

The International Engineering Alliance established and enforces “internationally benchmarked standards for engineering education and expected competence for engineering practice” [9]; these include the Washington Accord (for engineering) and the Sydney Accord (for engineering technology), which cover several flavors of computing programs. To cover the entirety of worldwide computing education, accrediting bodies in eight countries signed the Seoul Accord in 2008 “to ensure transparency in accreditation, remove arbitrary practices and policies, become the international authority on quality assurance, and develop and promote best practices to improve education in computing” [14]. The European Quality Assurance Network for Informatics Education (EQANIE) provides a similar role in a European context.

One of the Seoul Accord signatories, ABET, has accreditation criteria that require competency “to the knowledge, skills, and behaviors that students acquire” [2]. UK’s Engineering Council defines competence as: “the ability to carry out appropriate tasks to an effective standard. Achieving competence requires the right level of knowledge, understanding and skill, as well as a professional attitude” [16, p. 40]. The BCS, another Seoul Accord signatory and EQANIE member, uses this definition of competence to underpin accreditation related to several of its professional registrations. EQANIE itself requires graduates to meet “quality standards for knowledge, skills, and competences” [7].

2 WORKING GROUP OBJECTIVES

Building on earlier ITiCSE working group efforts (e.g., [12]), this working group will:

- (1) Review professional accreditation bodies in *non-computing* fields and explore how they factor competency as a requirement for accreditation.
- (2) Review worldwide professional accreditation bodies in *computing* fields [9, 14] and explore how, if at all, they address competency as a requirement for accreditation.
- (3) Identify practical computing competencies for computing disciplines needed for accreditation.

- (4) Examine competency assessment approaches in the computing and non-computing professions and suggest evaluation guidelines in computing accreditation.
- (5) Make recommendations for the need for and use of practical computing competencies in accreditation.

These objectives will guide the group’s investigations and future recommendations for competency-based computing accreditation.

The working group activities will inform computing educational communities about the increasing relevance of competency-based approaches within computing and how professional accreditation criteria can help realize the goal of viewing computing as a profession akin to medicine, business, and teaching.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work builds on prior efforts in accreditation and competency-based computing education. Raj acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation under Awards 1922169 and 2110771.

REFERENCES

- [1] AACSB International (AACSB). 2021. 2020 Guiding Principles and Standards for AACSB Business Accreditation, *Updated July 2021*. <https://www.aacsb.edu/-/media/documents/accreditation/2020-aacsb-business-accreditation-standards-july-2021.pdf>.
- [2] ABET, Inc. 2022. Criteria for Accrediting Computing Programs. <https://www.abet.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/2022-23-CAC-Criteria.pdf>.
- [3] BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT., 2021. BCS Webpage. <https://www.bcs.org>.
- [4] Alison Clear, Allen Parrish, John Impagliazzo, Pearl Wang, Paolo Ciancarini, Ernesto Cuadros-Vargas, Stephen Frezza, Judith Gal-Ezer, Arnold Pears, Shingo Takada, Heikki Topi, Gerrit van der Veer, Abhijat Vichare, Les Waguespack, and Ming Zhang. 2020. *Computing Curricula 2020*. ACM, New York.
- [5] CSAB, Inc. 2021. CSAB Webpage. <https://csab.org>.
- [6] Andrea Danyluk and Paul Leidig. 2021. Computing Competencies for Undergraduate Data Science Curricula. https://www.acm.org/binaries/content/assets/education/curricula-recommendations/dstf_ccdsc2021.pdf.
- [7] European Quality Assurance Network for Informatics Education (EQANIE). 2017. EURO-INF Framework Standards and Accreditation Criteria for Informatics Degree Programmes. https://eqanie.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Euro-Inf_Framework_Standards_and_Accreditation_Criteria_V_2017-10-23.pdf.
- [8] IEEE. 2014. Software Engineering Competency Model (SWECOM), Version 1.0. A Project of the IEEE Computer Society.
- [9] International Engineering Alliance. 2022. The Washington Accord. <https://www.ieaagreements.org/accords/washington/>.
- [10] Joint ACM/AIS IS2020 Task Force. 2020. IS2020: A Competency Model for Undergraduate Programs in Information Systems. <https://www.acm.org/binaries/content/assets/education/curricula-recommendations/is2020.pdf>.
- [11] Stephen J. Lurie, Christopher J. Mooney, and Jeffrey M. Lyness. 2009. MD Measurement of the General Competencies of the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education: A Systematic Review. *Academic Medicine* 84, 3 (March 2009), 10.097/ACM.0b013e3181971f08. https://medicina.udd.cl/cde/wp-content/blogs.dir/29/files/2010/07/Lurie_2716.pdf.
- [12] Rajendra K. Raj, Mihaela Sabin, John Impagliazzo, David Bowers, Mats Daniels, Felienne Hermans, Natalie Kiesler, Amruth N. Kumar, Bonnie MacKellar, Renée McCauley, Syed Waqar Nabi, and Michael Oudshoorn. 2021. Professional Competencies in Computing Education: Pedagogies and Assessment. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Working Group Reports on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*. ACM, Virtual Event Germany, 133–161.
- [13] Mihaela Sabin, Hala Alrumaih, John Impagliazzo, Barry Lunt, Ming Zhang, Brenda Byers, William Newhouse, Bill Paterson, Svetlana Peltsverger, Cara Tang, Gerrit van der Veer, and Barbara Viola. 2017. Information Technology Curricula 2017 (IT2017). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173161>.
- [14] Seoul Accord Secretariat. 2022. The Seoul Accord. <https://www.seoulaccord.org/about.php?id=91>.
- [15] Heikki Topi, Helena Karsten, Sue A. Brown, João Alvaro Carvalho, Brian Donnellan, Jun Shen, Bernard C. Y. Tan, and Mark F. Thouin. 2017. MSIS 2016: Global Competency Model for Graduate Degree Programs in Information Systems. <https://www.acm.org/binaries/content/assets/education/msis2016.pdf>.
- [16] UK Engineering Council. 2021. Accreditation of Higher Education Programmes. <https://www.engc.org.uk/education-skills/accreditation-of-higher-education-programmes/>.